arXiv:1405.6429v1 [math-ph] 25 May 2014

A straight waveguide with a wire inducing resonances

Sylwia Kondej[a] and Wiesław Leoński[b]

May 27, 2014

a) Institute of Physics, University of Zielona Góra, ul. Szafrana 4a, 65246 Zielona Góra, Poland; S.Kondej@if.uz.zgora.pl

b) Institute of Physics, University of Zielona Góra, ul. Szafrana 4a, 65246 Zielona Góra, Poland; W.Leonski@if.uz.zgora.pl

Abstract

We study a straight infinite planer waveguide with, so called, leaky wire attached to the walls of the waveguide. The wire is modelled by an attractive delta interaction supported by a finite segment. If the wire is placed perpendicularly then the system preserves mirror symmetry which leads the embedded eigenvalues phenomena. We show that if we break the symmetry the corresponding resolvent poles turn to resonances. The widths of resonances are calculated explicitly in the lowest order perturbation term.

key words: straight Dirichlet waveguide, delta interaction, embedded eigenvalues, resonances

1 Introduction

A waveguide with a leaky wire. The paper belongs to the line of research often called Schrödinger operator with delta interactions. To explain the physical motivations let us consider a quantum particle moving in a straight planar waveguide $\Omega := \{(x_1\,, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,:\, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}\,, x_2 \in (0, \pi)\}$ of the width π. Assuming that the waveguide forms impenetrable walls at its boundaries we exclude a possibility of tunnelling apart of the area Ω; mathematically

it corresponds to the Dirichlet boundary conditions at $\partial\Omega$. Moreover, the interface of two different materials produces an additional jump of potential localized on a finite line Σ placed in the waveguide. In fact, Σ is defined by a finite straight line attached to the walls of Ω, precisely $\Sigma \equiv \Sigma_\epsilon := \{(\epsilon x_2\,, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2\,, x_2 \in (0, \pi)\}$. If $\epsilon = 0$ then the potential is localized perpendicularly to $\partial\Omega$ and, consequently, the system possess the mirror symmetry with respect to the axis $O = \{(x\,, \pi/2) \,:\, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Therefore ϵ determines a breaking symmetry parameter. In fact, positivity and negativity of ϵ leads to the same physical model. Therefore, without loosing a generality, we assume $\epsilon \geq 0$. Since the potential is supported by the set Σ_ϵ of the lower dimension it imitates, so called, leaky quantum wire which can be modelled by delta interaction. A large number of models with delta interaction is discussed in [2]. Some specific models of leaky quantum wires were studied in [5, 11, 13, 12, 19, 17, 23, 24].

Hamiltonian of the system The above described system is governed by the Hamiltonian which can be symbolically written as

$$-\Delta_\Omega - \alpha\delta(\cdot - \Sigma_\epsilon)\,, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where Δ_Ω is the Laplace operator acting in $L^2(\Omega)$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions, $\delta(\cdot - \Sigma_\epsilon)$ stands for the Dirac delta modelling the wire and α describes the interaction supported by Σ_ϵ. In the following we mainly assume that the interaction is attractive. In this case α is positive. To give a mathematical meaning to the above formal expression we use the form-sum method. More precisely, we construct the form which intuitively preserves the properties of (1.1) and, at the same time, the operator $H_{\alpha,\epsilon}$ associated to this form is self-adjoint.

The main results of the paper. The model is attractive not only due to the applications to quantum mechanics. Mathematically, it reveals a relation between geometry of quantum system and its spectral properties. *Our main aim is to recover how the geometry of wire represented by the parameter ϵ affects the spectral properties of the Hamiltonian $H_{\alpha,\epsilon}$.*

To get insight into the spectral structure of the model at hand let us start our analysis form the case $\epsilon = 0$. Then due the symmetry of the system the Hamiltonian can be decomposed onto longitudinal component defined by the one dimensional Laplace operator $-\Delta^{(1)}$ with one point interaction determined the coupling constant $\alpha > 0$ and transversal component determined by the Dirichlet Laplacian acting in $L^2([0\,, \pi])$. The latter has a quantized spectrum given by $\{k^2\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$. Consequently, the original Hamiltonian $H_{\alpha,0}$ is unitary equivalent to the orthogonal sum of the operators which can be

symbolically written as

$$\oplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\ H^k_\alpha\,,\quad H^k_\alpha = -\Delta^{(1)} - \alpha\delta(x) + k^2\,. \tag{1.2}$$

The spectrum of the particular component is given by

$$\sigma(H^k_\alpha) = [k^2\,,\infty)\cup\{E_k\}\,,\quad k\in\mathbb{N}\,, \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$E_k = -\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + k^2\,;$$

cf. [2]. The threshold of the essential spectrum of $H_{\alpha,0}$ is determined by the ground state of H^1_α. This means that $\sigma_{\rm ess}(H_{\alpha,0}) = [1,\infty)$. Moreover, the same half line determines the essential spectrum of the system if $\epsilon > 0$, i.e. we have $\sigma_{\rm ess}(H_{\alpha,\epsilon}) = [1,\infty)$, see Section 3. Furthermore, it follows from (1.3) that

$$\sigma_{\rm p}(H_{\alpha,0}) = \{E_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\,.$$

Therefore, the wire induces *at least one discrete point* of the spectrum below the threshold 1 and *infinite number of the embedded eigenvalues*. The latter correspond to the phenomena of autoionization. The states located above ionization threshold can be experimentally observed, for instance as bumps in the scattering cross section when an electron leaves multi-electron atom. Usually helium or more often, barium atoms are applied in such experimental setups. Autoionizing systems are often described by means of, so called, *Fano profiles* [20, 27, 10], and they were discussed in various contexts. For instance see [26, 25] (and the references quoted therein), where various models of laser-atomic system interactions were considered.

In our system the gaps between subsequent embedded eigenvalues behaves as

$$E_{k+1} - E_k = 2k + 1\,.$$

We show that for small parameter $\epsilon > 0$ the embedded eigenvalues are recovered from the essential spectrum and move to the second sheet continuation of the resolvent, i.e. they produce resonances. Furthermore, it is proved that for $E_n > 1$ the pole of the resolvent is localized at

$$z_n = E_n + V_n\epsilon + W_n\epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)\,,$$

where V_n and W_n are found out explicitly. We show that V_n is real so it does not contribute to the width of resonance. Therefore V_n states the first perturbation term of the "energy shift". The lowest order of the resonance

widths γ_n can be recovered from the imaginary component of W_n; precisely given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists ϵ_n such that for $\epsilon \in (0\,, \epsilon_n)$ we have

$$\gamma_n = 2\,|\Im W_n|\epsilon^2\,.$$

Finally, let us mention that the problem of a waveguide with delta interaction was studied in [15]. The authors discussed spectral properties of the system with varying longitudinal straight line interaction. From the spectral point of view the mentioned model is essentially different from waveguide with the "almost perpendicular" wire which we analyzed in this paper. For the related problems concerning spectral properties in the straight waveguides we recommend [3, 6, 7, 18, 16]. On the other hand the resonance models with delta interactions were studied in [14, 23, 24].

Notations.

• We abbreviate $L^2_\Sigma \equiv L^2(\Sigma\,, \mathrm{d}l)$, where $\mathrm{d}l$ is a linear measure on Γ; the corresponding scalar product will be denoted $(\cdot\,,\cdot)_\Sigma$.

• In the following the space $L^2([0,\pi]) \equiv L^2([0,\pi]\,, \mathrm{d}x_2)$ is frequently used; the corresponding scalar product will be shortly denoted as $(\cdot\,,\cdot)$.

• The notation Δ stands for the two dimensional Laplace operator acting in $L^2(\Omega)$ and satisfying the Dirichlet boundary conditions.

• We use the standard notation $W_0^{n,2}(\Omega)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for the Sobolev space of the trace zero functions.

• We denote $\omega_k(\cdot) := \sin k(\cdot)$.

2 Hamiltonian of the system and its resolvent

2.1 Hamiltonian

The unperturbed Hamiltonian. Let us start our discussion with the "free" Dirichlet waveguide. The Hamiltonian of such system is given by the self-adjoint operator

$$H_0 = -\Delta\,:\,\mathcal{D}(H_0) \to L^2(\Omega)\,,$$

where the domain $\mathcal{D}(H_0)$ coincides with $W_0^{2,2}(\Omega)$. Operator H_0 is associated with the following quadratic form

$$\mathcal{E}_0[f] = \int_\Omega |\nabla f|^2\,, \quad f \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)\,.$$

The translational symmetry of the system leads to the following decomposition

$$H_0 = -\Delta^{(1)} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes -\Delta_D^{(1)}\,, \quad \text{onto} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2([0,\pi])\,, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\Delta^{(1)}$ is the one-dimensional Laplace operator acting in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $-\Delta_D^{(1)}$ is the one-dimensional Laplace operator acting in $L^2([0,\pi])$ and satisfying Dirichlet boundary conditions.

The Hamiltonian of the waveguide with a wire. Now we introduce delta potential localized at Σ_ϵ. The modified Hamiltonian formally corresponds to (1.1). To give a mathematical meaning to this formal expression we employ the form-sum method. Consider the sesqilinear form

$$\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\epsilon}[f] = \int_\Omega |\nabla f|^2 - \alpha \int_{\Sigma_\epsilon} |I_\epsilon f|^2\,, \quad f \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)\,,$$

where I_ϵ stands for the continuous embedding operator of $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ into $L^2(\Sigma_\epsilon)$. The form $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\epsilon}$ is symmetric and semi-bounded. Moreover, the Dirac delta supported by Σ_ϵ defines the Kato class measure, cf. [4]. This implies the closeness of $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\epsilon}$. Consequently, there exists uniquely defined operator $H_{\alpha,\epsilon}$ associated with the form $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\epsilon}$ via the second representation theorem. This operator defines the Hamiltonian of our system.

2.2 Resolvent of $H_{\alpha,\epsilon}$

Resolvent of "free" Hamiltonian. The Hamiltonian of the unperturbed waveguide does not admit any bound states. The threshold of the essential spectrum is determined by the lowest transversally quantized energy, i.e. to the ground state energy of $-\Delta_D^{(1)}$, cf. (2.4). Since the waveguide width equals π the lowest transversal energy is 1. Therefore

$$\sigma(H_0) = [1,\infty)\,.$$

Suppose $z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus[1,\infty)$ and $R_0(z)$ stands for the resolvent of H_0, i.e. $R_0(z) = (H_0 - z)^{-1}$. Then $R_0(z)$ is an integral operator with the kernel

$$G_0(z;x,y) = \frac{i}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{z-k^2}|x_1-y_1|}}{\sqrt{z-k^2}} \omega_k(x_2)\omega_k(y_2)\,, \tag{2.5}$$

where $x = (x_1\,, y_2)$ (analogously y), the square root function is defined on the first Riemann sheet and $\omega_k(\cdot) := \sin k(\cdot)$.

Resolvent of $H_{\alpha,\epsilon}$. To reconstruct the Krein like resolvent of $H_{\alpha,\epsilon}$ we have to introduce embeddings of the "free" resolvent to the space $L^2(\Sigma_\epsilon)$. By means of the trace map I_ϵ we define

$$\tilde{R}_\epsilon(z) := I_\epsilon R_0(z) \,:\, L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\Sigma_\epsilon)\,.$$

Its adjoint $\tilde{R}^*_\epsilon(z) \,:\, L^2(\Sigma_\epsilon) \to L^2(\Omega)$ acts as $\tilde{R}^*_\epsilon(z)f = G_0(z) * f\delta_{\Sigma_\epsilon}$. Moreover, we introduce the bilateral embedding

$$\mathrm{R}_\epsilon(z) = I_\epsilon \tilde{R}^*_\epsilon(z) \,:\, L^2(\Sigma_\epsilon) \to L^2(\Sigma_\epsilon)\,.$$

Finally, we define the operator which is a key tool for further spectral analysis based on the generalized Birman-Schwinger argument. Let

$$\Gamma_\epsilon(z) := I - \alpha \mathrm{R}_\epsilon(z) \,:\, L^2(\Sigma_\epsilon) \to L^2(\Sigma_\epsilon)\,.$$

Relaying on the results of [4] we can formulate the following statement.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [1\,, \infty)$, and operator $\Gamma_\epsilon(z)$ is invertible. Then the operator*

$$R_{\alpha,\epsilon}(z) = R_0(z) + \tilde{R}^*_\epsilon(z)\Gamma_\epsilon(z)^{-1}\tilde{R}_\epsilon(z) \tag{2.6}$$

defines the resolvent of $H_{\alpha,\epsilon}$, i.e. $R_{\alpha,\epsilon}(z) = (H_{\alpha,\epsilon} - z)^{-1}$.
Moreover

$$\dim \ker(H_{\alpha,\epsilon} - z) = \dim \ker \Gamma_\epsilon(z) \tag{2.7}$$

and the map $h \mapsto \tilde{R}^*_\epsilon(z)h$ defines a bijection from $\ker \Gamma_\epsilon(z)$ onto $\ker(H_{\alpha,\epsilon} - z)$.

The above theorem allows to "shift" the eigenvalue problem for the differential operator $H_{\alpha,\epsilon}$ to the problem of zeroes of the integral operator $\Gamma_\epsilon(\cdot)$. For the more general approach we recommend [28].

2.3 Reparameterization

A certain disadvantage of the operator $\Gamma_\epsilon(z)$ is the fact that it acts in the trace space $L^2(\Sigma_\epsilon)$ which is ϵ dependent. To make it "stable" w.r.t. ϵ we parameterize Σ_ϵ by means of x_2 instead of the length of arc l. Recall $\Sigma_\epsilon = \{(\epsilon x_2, x_2) \in \Omega \,:\, x_2 \in (0, \pi)\}$. Using the relation $\frac{dl}{dx_2} = (\epsilon^2 + 1)^{1/2}$ we conclude that the map

$$f \mapsto (\epsilon^2 + 1)^{1/4} f((\epsilon^2 + 1)^{1/2}(\cdot))$$

defines a unitary operator $U \,:\, L^2(\Sigma_\epsilon) \to L^2([0, \pi])$.
Without a danger of confusion we keep the same notation $\mathrm{R}_\epsilon(z)$ for its unitary "equivalent" $U\mathrm{R}_\epsilon(z)U^{-1} \,:\, L^2([0, \pi]) \to L^2([0, \pi])$ and analogously for $\Gamma_\epsilon(z)$.

After the re-parameterization the kernel of the operator $\mathrm{R}_\epsilon(z) : L^2([0,\pi]) \to L^2([0,\pi])$ is given by

$$\mathrm{G}_\epsilon(z; x_2, y_2) = \frac{i}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{z-k^2}\epsilon|x_2-y_2|}}{\sqrt{z-k^2}} \omega_k(x_2)\omega_k(y_2)\,, \tag{2.8}$$

cf. (2.5).

3 Spectrum of $H_{\epsilon,\alpha}$; embedded eigenvalues phenomena

Stability of the essential spectrum. Assume $\alpha > 0$. Since the delta perturbation is compactly supported one may expect the stability of the essential spectrum with respect to the "free" Hamiltonian H_0. It was shown in [4] that a finite measure preserves the essential spectrum of the Laplacian acting in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The argument employed in [4] can be extended for the Laplacian acting in $L^2(\Omega)$. Namely, the both operators $\tilde{R}^*_\epsilon(z)$ and $\tilde{R}_\epsilon(z)$ are compact. Indeed, note that the operator $R_0(z) : L^2(\Omega) \to W_0^{2,2}(\Omega)$ is bounded. Furthermore, using the standard embedding theorem, cf. [1], we conclude that the inclusion $W_0^{2,2}(\Omega) \subset C^0(\Sigma_\epsilon)$ defines a compact embedding operator in the sense of the trace map. On the other hand, since the embedding $C^0(\Sigma_\epsilon) \subset L^2(\Sigma_\epsilon)$ is continuous we conclude that the trace map $I_\epsilon : W_0^{2,2}(\Omega) \subset W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \to L^2(\Sigma_\epsilon)$ is compact. This yields compactness of $\tilde{R}_\epsilon(z)$ as well as its adjoint $\tilde{R}^*_\epsilon(z)$. Consequently, the continuity of $\Gamma_\epsilon(z)^{-1}$ implies compactness of $\tilde{R}^*_\epsilon(z)\Gamma_\epsilon(z)^{-1}\tilde{R}_\epsilon(z)$. In view the Weyl theorem we have the stability of the essential spectrum

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H_{\alpha,\epsilon}) = \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H_0) = [1,\infty)\,.$$

Point spectrum of $H_{\alpha,0}$; poles of the resolvent. Our first aim is to characterize the point spectrum of the Hamiltonian $H_{\alpha,0}$ which governs the system with the wire placed perpendicularly to the boundaries of Ω. In fact, the problem was solved in [3] employing the generalized sum method. Moreover, relaying on the symmetry argument we provide a characterization of the spectrum of $H_{\alpha,0}$ in Introduction. Now we would like to find out the point spectrum of $H_{\alpha,0}$ as the poles of its resolvent.
Using (2.8) we have

$$\mathrm{G}_0(z; x_2, y_2) = \frac{i}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z-k^2}} \omega_k(x_2)\omega_k(y_2)\,. \tag{3.9}$$

Since the sequel $\{\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2}\omega_k(\cdot)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ forms an orthonormal basis in $L^2([0,\pi])$ we arrive at

$$\ker\Gamma_0(z)\neq\{0\}\quad\Longleftrightarrow\quad 2\sqrt{z-k^2}-i\alpha=0\,,\ k\in\mathbb{N}\,. \tag{3.10}$$

Note that the latter admits solutions also for $z\in[1\,,\infty)$ and $\alpha>0$. Precisely, given $k\in\mathbb{N}$ the number

$$E_k=-\frac{\alpha^2}{4}+k^2 \tag{3.11}$$

determines a solution of (3.10) and, at the same time, determines an eigenvalue of $H_{\alpha,0}$, cf. [3]. In the following theorem we summarize the above discussion.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume $\alpha>0$. The eigenvalues of $H_{\alpha,0}$ take the form (3.11). Consequently, the number of the discrete spectrum points is finite and given by*

$$\sharp\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H_{\alpha,0})=\{k\in\mathbb{N}\,:\,-\frac{\alpha^2}{4}+k^2<1\}\,.$$

On the other hand, for any $k\in\{n\in\mathbb{N}\,:\,-\frac{\alpha^2}{4}+k^2\geq 1\}$ E_k given by (3.11) constitutes an embedded eigenvalue of $H_{\alpha,0}$. Therefore, the number of embedded eigenvalues is infinite.

4 Resonances

4.1 Resonances as the poles of the resolvent

The Birman–Schwinger principle is based on a relation between the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian and the poles of its resolvent. Using this argument we have stated that the Hamiltonian $H_{\alpha,0}$ admits the embedded eigenvalues which determine zeros of $\Gamma_0(\cdot)$. It arises the question: where are poles of the resolvent localized if we "slightly" break the symmetry? The aim of this section is to show that the resolvent of $H_{\alpha,\epsilon}$ admits the second sheet analytical continuation in a certain sense and, moreover, the second sheet continuation $\Gamma^{II}_\epsilon(\cdot)$ of $\Gamma_\epsilon(\cdot)$ has zeroes in the lower half plane, i.e.

$$\ker\Gamma^{II}_\epsilon(z)\neq\{0\}\,,\quad \Im z<0\,. \tag{4.12}$$

The resolvent poles defined by (4.12) constitute resonances.

4.2 Analytical continuation of $\mathrm{R}_\epsilon(z)$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E_n = -\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + n^2$ be an embedded eigenvalue of $H_{\alpha,0}$. Henceforth we assume $\alpha \neq 2\sqrt{n^2 - k^2}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \leq n$. In this way we exclude the case when E_n reaches the threshold of the essential spectrum of H^k_α; cf. (1.2).

The goal of this section is to construct the analytical continuation of $\mathrm{R}_\epsilon(\cdot)$ in a neighborhood of E_n. In fact, E_n is localized between the neighboring thresholds of the essential spectra of (1.2). These thresholds determine the boundaries of the largest real interval containing E_n and admitting an analytical continuation of $\mathrm{R}_\epsilon(z)$ to the lower half plane. To specify this interval let us define

$$\mathcal{A}_n := \{k \,:\, k^2 < E_n\}\,, \quad \mathcal{A}_n^c := \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathcal{A}_n\,.$$

Suppose $k_1 := \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} \,:\, k \in \mathcal{A}_n\}$ and set $\Upsilon_n := (k_1^2\,, (k_1+1)^2)$. Then $E_n \in \Upsilon_n$. Note that at most one eigenvalue can be localized between k^2 and $(k+1)^2$.

In the following we denote $z \mapsto \sqrt{z-k^2}_I \equiv \sqrt{z-k^2}$ and $z \mapsto \sqrt{z-k^2}_{II}$ for, respectively, the first and the second Riemann sheet of the square root function with the cut $[k^2\,, \infty)$. Then, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the function $\mathbb{C}_+ \cup \Upsilon_n \ni z \mapsto \sqrt{z-k^2}_I$ has an analytical continuation via Υ_n to a bounded open set $\Upsilon_{-,n} \equiv \Upsilon_- \subset \mathbb{C}_-$ with boundaries containing Υ_n. This continuation is given by

$$\Upsilon_n \cup \Upsilon_- \ni z \mapsto \tau_k(z) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{z-k^2}_I & \text{for } k \in \mathcal{A}_n^c \\ \sqrt{z-k^2}_{II} & \text{for } k \in \mathcal{A}_n\,. \end{cases}$$

It is clear from the definition that $\tau_k(\cdot)$ depends on n. By means of $\tau_k(\cdot)$ we can construct an analytical continuation of $\mathrm{R}_\epsilon(z)$ to $\Upsilon_n \cup \Upsilon_-$ which is given by an integral operator $\mathrm{R}^{II}_\epsilon(z)$ acting in $L^2([0,\pi])$ with the kernel

$$\mathrm{G}^{II}_\epsilon(z; x_2, y_2) := \frac{i}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{i\tau_k(z)\epsilon|x_2-y_2|}}{\tau_k(z)} \omega_k(x_2)\omega_k(y_2)\,. \tag{4.13}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $z \in \Upsilon_n \cup \Upsilon_-$. Operator $\mathrm{R}^{II}_\epsilon(z)$ is bounded.*

Proof. Note that (4.13) consists of the finite number $\sharp\mathcal{A}_n$ of bounded operators for which $\Im\tau_k(z) \leq 0$. The remaining part

$$\frac{i}{\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{A}_n^c} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{z-k^2}_I \epsilon|x_2-y_2|}}{\sqrt{z-k^2}_I} \omega_k(x_2)\omega_k(y_2)$$

is also bounded due the analogous argument which implies boundedness of (2.8). □

Finally, in the analogous way as $\mathrm{R}_\epsilon^{II}(z)$ we can construct the second sheet continuation of the remaining ingredients of the resolvent $R_0(\cdot)$, $\tilde{R}_\epsilon^*(\cdot)$ and $\tilde{R}_\epsilon(\cdot)$. Relaying on (2.6) can build up the second sheet continuation $R_{\alpha,\epsilon}^{II}(z)$ which for any $f\,,g\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ defines the analytic function $z\mapsto(f\,,R_{\alpha,\epsilon}^{II}(z)g)$.

4.3 Spectral condition for the resonances

Decomposition of $\mathrm{R}_\epsilon^{II}(z)$. The goal of this sequence is to formulate a spectral condition for the resolvent pole located near energy E_n. For this aim we will extract from (4.13) the n-th component of $\mathrm{G}_0^{II}(z,\cdot,\cdot)$ "responsible" for the existence embedded eigenvalue E_n and given by

$$\mathrm{S}_n(z):=\frac{i}{\pi}\frac{1}{\tau_n(z)}(\omega_n\,,\cdot)\omega_n\,. \tag{4.14}$$

Denote

$$\mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z):=\mathrm{R}_\epsilon^{II}(z)-\mathrm{S}_n(z)\,. \tag{4.15}$$

In fact, $\mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z)$ depends also on n; without a danger of confusion we omit index n here. Note that in view of Lemma 4.1 operator $\mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z)$ is bounded. The next step is to derive expansion of $\mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z)$ with the respect to ϵ up to the second order perturbation term. Employing (4.13) we get

$$\mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z)=\mathrm{T}_0(z)+\mathrm{T}_1(z)\epsilon+\mathrm{T}_2(z)\epsilon^2+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)\,, \tag{4.16}$$

where

$$\mathrm{T}_0(z)=\frac{i}{\pi}\sum_{k\neq n}\frac{1}{\tau_k(z)}(\omega_n\,,\cdot)\omega_n\,, \tag{4.17}$$

and the kernels of $\mathrm{T}_m(z)$, $m=1\,,2$ are given by

$$\mathrm{T}_m(z)(x_2\,,y_2)=-\frac{i^{m-1}}{m\pi}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\tau_k(z)^{m-1}|x_2-y_2|^m\omega_k(x_2)\omega_k(y_2)\,. \tag{4.18}$$

Since both $\mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z)$ and $\mathrm{T}_0(z)$ are bounded the "perturbant" $\mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z)-\mathrm{T}_0(z)$ is bounded as well. Moreover, note that $\mathrm{T}_1(\cdot)$ does not depend on z. Therefore, in the following we will write T_1.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that* $\mathcal{U}_n\in\mathbb{C}_+\cup\Upsilon_n\cup\Upsilon_-$ *defines a small neighborhood of* E_n *and* $z\in\mathcal{U}_n$. *For* ϵ *sufficiently small the operator* $I-\alpha\mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z)$ *is invertible.*

Proof. Note that for $z\in\mathcal{U}_n$ the function $z\mapsto\tau_k(z)$ is analytic. Using the explicit form of $\mathrm{T}_0(z)$, see (4.17), we conclude that its eigenvalues take the form

$$t_k(z)=\begin{cases}\frac{i}{2\tau_k(z)} & \text{for } k\neq n\\ 0 & \text{for } k=n\,.\end{cases}$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions are determined by ω_k for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Combining the above statement together with (4.16) and results of the perturbation theory, [21], we get that the eigenvalues of $\alpha \mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z)$, $z \in \mathcal{U}_n$ take the forms

$$\alpha t_k(z) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\,, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}\,.$$

Since the equation

$$\alpha t_k(z) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) = 1$$

has no solution for $z \in \mathcal{U}_n$ we can conclude that $\ker(I - \alpha \mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z)) = \{0\}$. □

Relaying on the above lemma we define

$$\eta_n(z, \epsilon) := \tau_n(z) - i\frac{\alpha}{\pi}(\omega_n, (I - \alpha \mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z))^{-1}\omega_n)\,. \tag{4.19}$$

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that $z \in \mathcal{U}_n$. Then*

$$\ker \Gamma_\epsilon^{II}(z) \neq \{0\} \quad \text{iff} \quad \eta_n(\epsilon, z) = 0\,.$$

Proof. Using decomposition (4.15) and Lemma 4.2 we conclude that for $z \in \mathcal{U}_n$ we have

$$\Gamma_\epsilon^{II}(z) = (I - \alpha \mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z))(I - \alpha(I - \alpha \mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z))^{-1}\mathrm{S}_n(z))\,. \tag{4.20}$$

Using again the fact that $\ker(I - \alpha \mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z)) = \{0\}$ we arrive at

$$\ker \Gamma_\epsilon^{II}(z) \neq \{0\} \iff \ker(I - \alpha(I - \alpha \mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z))^{-1}\mathrm{S}_n(z)) \neq \{0\}\,.$$

Applying (4.14) we come to the conclusion that $f \in \ker(I - \alpha(I - \alpha \mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z))^{-1}\mathrm{S}_n(z))$ iff

$$f = i\frac{\alpha}{\pi\tau_n(z)}(\omega_n, f)(I - \alpha \mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z))^{-1}\omega_n\,.$$

The latter is equivalent to

$$\eta_n(z, \epsilon) = 0\,;$$

this completes the proof. □

4.4 Solution of the spectral equation

Once we have the spectral equation our aim is to recover its solutions which reproduce the resolvent poles. Since the functions $\mathcal{U}_n \ni z \mapsto \tau_k(z)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are analytic the spectral condition obtained in Theorem 4.3 is equivalent to

$$\tau_n(z)^2 = -\frac{\alpha^2}{\pi^2}(\omega_n, (I - \alpha \mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z))^{-1}\omega_n)^2\,, \tag{4.21}$$

cf. (4.19).

Expansion of $(\omega_n, (I - \alpha \mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z))^{-1}\omega_n)$. The next step is to expand the expression $(\omega_n, (I - \alpha \mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z))^{-1}\omega_n)$ involved in the above equation with respect to ϵ. Suppose A is invertible with the bounded inverse and C is bounded with the norm $\|C\| = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$. Then

$$(A - C)^{-1} = A^{-1}(I + A^{-1}C + (A^{-1}C)^2 + ...)\,. \tag{4.22}$$

Specify $A := I - \alpha \mathrm{T}_0(z)$ and $C := \alpha(\mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z) - \mathrm{T}_0(z))$. Combining (4.22) and (4.18) together with the fact $A\omega_n = \omega_n$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}&(\omega_n, (I - \alpha \mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z))^{-1}\omega_n) = (\omega_n, (I + C + C^2 + ...)\omega_n) = \\ &\frac{\pi}{2} + \alpha\epsilon(\omega_n, \mathrm{T}_1\omega_n) + \alpha\epsilon^2(\omega_n, \mathrm{T}_2(z)\omega_n) \\ &+\alpha^2\epsilon^2(\omega_n, \mathrm{T}_1^2\omega_n) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

With the above statements we are ready to prove the main theorem.

Theorem 4.4. *Let* $\alpha > 0$. *Suppose* $n^2 > 1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{4}$, *i.e. the number* $E_n = -\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + n^2$ *determines the embedded eigenvalue of* $H_{\alpha,0}$. *Then the Hamiltonian* $H_{\alpha,\epsilon}$ *has the resolvent pole at*

$$z_n(\epsilon) = E_n + V_n\epsilon + W_n\epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)\,, \tag{4.24}$$

where

$$V_n := -\frac{\alpha^3}{\pi}(\omega_n, \mathrm{T}_1\omega_n)\,,$$

$$W_n := -\frac{\alpha^4}{\pi^2}(\omega_n, \mathrm{T}_1\omega_n)^2 - \frac{\alpha^3}{\pi}(\omega_n, \mathrm{T}_2(E_n)\omega_n) - \frac{\alpha^4}{\pi}(\omega_n, \mathrm{T}_1^2\omega_n)\,.$$

Proof. Suppose $z \in \mathcal{U}_n$. The spectral condition (4.21) reads as

$$\tilde{\eta}_n(z, \epsilon) = 0\,, \tag{4.25}$$

where

$$\tilde{\eta}_n(z, \epsilon) := z - n^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi^2}(\omega_n, (I - \alpha \mathrm{T}_\epsilon(z))^{-1}\omega_n)^2\,.$$

Using the expansion (4.23) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\eta}_n(z, \epsilon) \quad &= z - n^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{\alpha^3}{\pi}(\omega_n, \mathrm{T}_1\omega_n)\epsilon + \\ &\left(-\frac{\alpha^4}{\pi^2}(\omega_n, \mathrm{T}_1\omega_n)^2 - \frac{\alpha^3}{\pi}(\omega_n, \mathrm{T}_2(z)\omega_n) - \frac{\alpha^4}{\pi}(\omega_n, \mathrm{T}_1^2\omega_n)\right)\epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\tilde{\eta}_n(E_n, 0) = 0\,.$$

Since $\mathcal{U}_n \ni z \mapsto \tilde{\eta}_n(z\,, \epsilon)$ is analytic (this follows from the analycity of $\mathrm{R}^{II}_\epsilon(\cdot)$) and $\tilde{\eta}_n(z\,, \epsilon)$ is C^∞ as the function of ϵ we can apply the implicit function theorem in view of which the equation (4.25) has a unique solution in the neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_n$ of E_n. The expansion (4.24) comes directly from the above expansion of $\tilde{\eta}_n(z, \epsilon)$. □

5 Geometrically induced resonances: discussion

5.1 Imaginary part of the resolvent pole

Theorem 4.4 shows that the pole of the resolvent dissolving originally in the essential spectrum is slightly shifted after breaking the symmetry. Since the operator $H_{\alpha,\epsilon}$ is self-adjoint the pole of its resolvent can other stay in the essential spectrum or turn to the lower complex half plane. The aim of this section is to show that the later holds for ϵ small enough. The question is appealing because the imaginary part of the pole determines the width of resonance.

Note that the error term in (4.24) depends on the index n; i.e. for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\epsilon_n > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_n)$ the term $W_n\epsilon^2$ dominates w.r.t. the error term (in the sense of the real and imaginary components).

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_n)$. Note that T_1 is a self-adjoint operator and, consequently, V_n is real; also the first and third component of W_n (see (4.24)) are real. A nontrivial imaginary component of $z_n(\epsilon)$ of the lowest order is induced by

$$(\omega_n, \mathrm{T}_2(E_n)\omega_n) = -\frac{i}{2\pi}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \tau_k(E_n) N_{k,n}\,, \tag{5.26}$$

where

$$N_{k,n} := \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi |x_2 - y_2|^2 \sin(kx_2)\sin(nx_2)\sin(ky_2)\sin(ny_2)\mathrm{d}x_2\mathrm{d}x_y = \begin{cases} -8\frac{k^2n^2}{(n^2-k^2)^4} & \text{if } |k-n| \text{ odd} \\ 0 & \text{if } |k-n| \text{ even} \\ \frac{\pi^4}{12} - \frac{\pi^2}{4k^2} & \text{if } k = n\,. \end{cases}$$

Note that not all components of (5.26) contribute to imaginary part of $z_n(\epsilon)$. Precisely, $\tau_k(E_n)$ is purely real for $k \in \mathcal{A}_n$. Otherwise, for $k \in \mathcal{A}_n^c$ it is

purely imaginary and, consequently, the corresponding components are *not* employed in the imaginary part of the resonance pole. This, in view of (5.26) implies

$$\Im z_n(\epsilon) = -\frac{4\alpha^2}{\pi^3} S_n \epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)\,, \tag{5.27}$$

where

$$S_n := \sum_{k\in\mathcal{A}'_n} \tau_k(E_n) \frac{k^2 n^2}{(n^2-k^2)^4}$$

and $\mathcal{A}'_n := \{k \in \mathcal{A}_n \,:\, n-k \text{ is odd}\}$. Since $\tau_k(E_n) > 0$ for all $k \in \mathcal{A}'_n$ we obtain $\Im z_n(\epsilon) < 0$.
Formula (5.27) explicitly reveals the lowest order of the resonance width

$$\gamma_n = \frac{2\alpha^2}{\pi^3} S_n \epsilon^2\,.$$

5.2 The resonance energy

The real component of the resonance pole determines the resonance energy. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose ϵ is small enough. The lowest order of the real component associated with $z_n(\epsilon)$ is given by

$$E_n - \frac{\alpha^3}{\pi}(\omega_n, \mathrm{T}_1\omega_n)\epsilon\,.$$

To derive more explicit expression we calculate

$$(\omega_n, \mathrm{T}_1\omega_n) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} M_{k,n}\,,$$

where

$$M_{k,n} := \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi |x_2 - y_2| \sin(kx_2)\sin(nx_2)\sin(ky_2)\sin(ny_2)\mathrm{d}x_2\mathrm{d}x_y = \begin{cases} -\frac{\pi}{4}\frac{n^2+k^2}{(n^2-k^2)^2} & \text{if } k \neq n \\ -\frac{21}{48}\frac{\pi}{k^2} + \frac{11}{6}\pi^3 & \text{if } k = n\,. \end{cases}$$

5.3 Comments on repulsive potential

Suppose that the coupling constant $\alpha < 0$; i.e. the delta potential localized on Σ_ϵ has a repulsive character. Moreover, assume at the beginning that $\epsilon = 0$. Note, that in this case, equation (3.10) do not admit any solution (remind that $\Im\sqrt{z-k^2} > 0$) and, consequently, embedded eigenvalues do

not appear. However, for $\alpha < 0$ the analogous equation but for the second sheet continuation of the square root function, i.e.

$$2\sqrt{z-k^2}_{II} - i\alpha = 0$$

has a solution at $z_k = -\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + k^2$. Let us emphasize that the above solution does not determine an eigenvalue of $H_{\alpha,0}$. It states a pole of the resolvent living on second sheet. The analogous phenomena occurs for the repulsive one point interaction in one dimensional system, cf. [2], Chap. I.3. If we break the symmetry we may expect that the pole moves slightly but since $H_{\alpha,\epsilon}$ is a self-adjoint operator the pole has to stay on the second sheet. In this case it means that its imaginary part is nonnegative. Indeed, repeating the procedure employed for $\alpha > 0$ after obvious changes we get

$$\Im\tilde{z}_n(\epsilon) = -\frac{4\alpha^2}{\pi^3}\tilde{S}_n\epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)\,,$$

where

$$\tilde{S}_n := \sum_{k\in\mathcal{A}'_n} \sqrt{E_n + k^2}_{II}\, \frac{k^2 n^2}{(n^2-k^2)^4}\,.$$

Since $\sqrt{E_n - k^2}_{II} < 0$ we have $\Im\tilde{z}_n(\epsilon) > 0$ for ϵ small enough.

5.4 Open questions

The first natural question arising here concerns the higher dimensional models. For example, suppose that the geometry of the waveguide is is defined by $\Omega := \{(x_1\,, x_2\,, x_3) \,:\, x_1\,, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\,, x_3 \in (0,\pi)\}$ and delta interaction is supported by $\Sigma_\epsilon := \{(0, \epsilon x_3, x_3)\} \subset \Omega$. Since the interaction is supported by a set of codimension two the model is essentially different from the one studied in this paper. The resolvent needs a certain kind of the renormalization and this makes the resonance analysis more involved.

The other interesting question concerns the spectral properties of the system if ϵ is large. Especially, if ϵ goes formally to infinity then Σ_ϵ is getting parallel to the walls of Ω. This suggests that the eigenvalues of $H_{\alpha,\epsilon}$ are densely localized and at limiting case we get an additional component of the essential spectrum.

Acknowledgement

The author thanks the referees for reading the paper and recommending various improvements in exposition.

References

[1] R.A. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.

[2] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Høegh-Krohn, and H. Holden, *Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics*, 2nd printing (with Appendix by P. Exner), AMS, Providence, R.I., 2004.

[3] S. Albeverio, W. Karwowski and V. Koshmanenko, *On negative eigenvalues of generalized Laplace operators*, Rep. Math. Phys. **48** (2001), 359–387.

[4] J.F. Brasche, P. Exner, Yu.A. Kuperin, and P. Šeba, *Schrödinger operators with singular interactions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 112–139.

[5] J.F. Brasche, A. Teta, *Spectral analysis and scattering theory for Schrödinger operators with an interaction supported by a regular curve*, Ideas and Methods in Quantum and Statistical Physics (Oslo, 1988), Albeverio S., Fenstad J.F., Holden H. and Lindstrom T. eds., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1992), 197–211.

[6] D. Borisov, G. Cardone, *Planar waveguide with "twisted" boundary conditions: small width*, J. Math.Phys. **53** No. 2 (2012)

[7] D. Borisov, G. Cardone, *Planar waveguide with "twisted" boundary conditions: discrete spectrum*, J. Mathematical Physics **52** No. 12 (2011)

[8] D. Borisov, P. Freitas, *Asymptotics of Dirichlet eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on thin domains in R^d*, Journal of Functional Analysis, **258** no. 3 (2010), 893–912.

[9] J. Dittrich, J. Kříž, *Bound states in straight quantum waveguides with combined boundary conditions* J. Math. Phys. **43** (2002), 3892-3915,

[10] P. Durand, I. Paidarova, *Theory of generalized Fano profiles*, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **35** (2002), 469-481.

[11] P. Exner and T. Ichinose, *Geometrically induced spectrum in curved leaky wires*, J. Phys. A **34** (2001), 1439–1450.

[12] P. Exner, S. Kondej, *Curvature-induced bound states for a δ interaction supported by a curve in $\mathbb{R}^3$*, Ann. H. Poincaré **3** (2002), 967–981.

[13] P. Exner, S. Kondej, *Strong-coupling asymptotic expansion for Schrödinger operators with a singular interaction supported by a curve in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, Rev. Math. Phys. **16** (2004) 559-582.

[14] P. Exner, S. Kondej, *Schrödinger operators with singular interactions: a model of tunneling resonances*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37** (2004), 8255-8277.

[15] P. Exner, D. Krejčiřík, *Waveguides coupled through a semitransparent barrier: a Birman-Schwinger analysis*, Rev. Math. Phys. **13** (2001), 307-334.

[16] P. Exner, D. Krejčiřík, *Quantum waveguides with a lateral semitransparent barrier: spectral and scattering properties*, J. Phys. **A32** (1999), 4475-4494.

[17] P. Exner, K. Pankrashkin *Strong coupling asymptotics for a singular Schrödinger operator with an interaction supported by an open arc* Comm. PDE **39** (2014), 193-212

[18] P. Exner, K. Němcová, *Quantum mechanics of layers with a finite number of point perturbations*, J. Math. Phys. **43** (2002), 1152-1184.

[19] P. Exner, K. Yoshitomi, *Asymptotics of eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator with a strong delta-interaction on a loop*, J. Geom. Phys. **41** (2002), 344–358.

[20] U. Fano, *Effects of Configuration Interaction on Intensities and Phase Shifts*, Phys. Rev. **124** (1961), 1866-1878.

[21] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[22] D. Krejčiřík, *Spectrum of the Laplacian in a narrow curved strip with combined Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions*, ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations **15** (2009), 555-568.

[23] S. Kondej, *Resonances induced by broken symmetry in a system with a singular potential*, Ann. Henri Poincaré, **13** (2012), 1451-1467.

[24] S. Kondej and D. Krejčiřík, Spectral analysis of a quantum system with a double line singular interaction, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto University* **49** (2013).

[25] W. Leoński, *Squeezed-state effect on bound continuum transitions*, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B - Opt. Phys., **10**, (1993) 244-252.

[26] W. Leoński, V. Bužek, *Quantum laser field-effect on the photoelectron-spectrum for autojonizing systems*, J. Mod. Opt., **37** (1990) 1923-1934.

[27] W. Leoński, R. Tanaś, S. Kielich, *Laser-induced autoionization from a double Fano system*, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B - Opt. Phys., **4**, (1987) 72-77.

[28] A. Posilicano, *A Krein-like formula for singular perturbations of self-adjoint operators and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. **183** (2001), 109–147.

[29] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics: IV. Analysis of operators*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.